1		
2	OBKLEY	
3		
4		
5	From # 14	
6	EST. 1868	
7	MINUTES	
8	Mintores	
9	December 14, 2022	
10		
11	Oakley City Council	
12	Regular Session 7:00 PM	
13	Public Hearing	
14	Oakley City Hall	
15		
16	Zoom Meeting Platform	
17	Meeting ID 820 258 4629	
18	Passcode 777869	
19	Anchor Location: 960 West Center Street, Oakley UT 84055	
20		
21	In Attendance:	
22	City Administration: Mayor Zane Woolstenhulme, Councilmembers: Joe Frazier, Kelly Kimber, Dave Net	
23	(Absent), Tom Smart; Councilmember Steve Wilmoth	
24		
25	City Staff: City Recorder, Amy Rydalch; City Planner, Stephanie Woolstenhulme; Public Works Director,	
26	Kendell Staples; City Attorney, Rob Patterson (Zoom);	
27 28	Other City Contracted Personnel in Attendance: Nick Graue, Aqua Engineering (Zoom)	
29	Other City Contracted Personner in Attendance. Nick Grade, Aqua Engineering (20011)	
30	Members of the Public: Chandler Smith, Dan Smith, Paula Trater, Bonnie Wilde, Jan Perkins, Lane	
31	Livingston, Kristy Atkinson, Tricia Cone, Tom Schulz, Via Zoom: Jason Boal, Marchants, Mrs. Smyth's,	
32	Jack Walkenhorst, Pat Cone, Amy Reagan, Sherrie Keller, Alex LaCouture	
33	,,	
34	1. Mayor Woolstenhulme Opened the meeting. Councilmember Wilmoth led the Pledge of	
35	Allegiance. Councilmember Kimber offered the invocation.	
36		
37	2. Approval of the Consent Calendar.	
38	a. Invoice Register 11/9/2022 thru 12/13/2022.	
39	b. City Council Minutes 11/9/2022, 11/23/2022	
40	c. Rodeo Contracts	
41	d. Monthly Financial Report	

e. Calendar of City Council Meetings for 2023

Councilmember Frazier made a motion to approve the consent calendar. **Councilmember Smart** seconded the motion.

No Further Discussion.

Mayor Woolstenhulme called for a vote. All voted in favor. Consent Calendar Items were approved.

3. Franchise Agreement - Utah Broadband

Recorder Rydalch briefed the Council on the efforts of Utah Broadband to expand into the Kamas Valley market area. The franchise agreement in front of them does not specify a fee or tax rate. They believe that they are exempt from the video and franchise fee as they are an internet provider and internet service is supposed to be exempt from said tax. City Attorney Patterson stated the same franchise agreement has been proposed to both Kamas City and Francis. He would like to work with the other cities for a work around and consensus on a reasonable fee before proceeding further. Council agreed to table for further discussion at another upcoming City Council meeting.

4. Public Hearing – Zoning Change application Parcel OT-117 (4685 N. Millrace Road) Dan & Chandler Smith – Applicants

Planner Woolstenhulme summarized the application for the zoning change and presented the Planning Commission's positive recommendation. Context for the zoning change is the recent update to the City's land use regulations and zoning maps in 2021. The City attempted to correct parcels on the previous map that had split zoning by upzoning those parcels. The parcel in question is the only known parcel where the split zoning was inadvertently downzoned. This zoning change is, in part, an attempt to correct the City's downzoning and willingly concedes there should be 3 units of density. The additional density request is from the applicant who desires 4 units including the existing home. At four lots it requires a master planned development plan which gives the city more control vs. the 3 units of density and a simple subdivision.

Mayor Woolstenhulme opened the Public Hearing.

Chandler Smith, Property Owner: Grateful for the opportunity to have the zoning corrected. Spoke to the number of parcels that were upzoned and theirs being the only parcel downzoned during the Land Use updated and amended map that was adopted in 2021. She would like the same opportunity that the other split-zoned parcels were bestowed with upon the adoption of the 2021 map.

Dan Smith, Property Owner: Spoke to the formality of the process and that he believes it is unnecessary because of the oversight of their split zoning in the process of updating the zoning

map in 2021. Believes that this zoning change restores their development rights and that the granting of the zoning change is what is right and fair.

Paula Trater: Concerned about the riparian area and asked Council to consider buffer zones, not only for this property but from streams etc. as other applications come before Council. She has studied a species of frogs for years in this area and they are diminishing.

Jan Perkins: Values Paula's input. Spoke to protecting water and water quality. Concerned about the river on this property and that it flows into the Weber River. Wanted to know if there is a setback in city code that protects wetlands and waterways. In the City's code it speaks to sensitive lands, and she believes that it is valuable to protect these areas, not only in regard to this zoning change but for future considerations. Likes the point about the master planned development requirement but worries about this setting a precedent with other properties. Is the Council setting themselves up for more zoning considerations. Does this zoning change benefit the community? Concerned about the old barn on the property and believes the City should consider moving the barn, with permission of the property owners, to City-owned property and preserving the structure.

Bonnie Wilde: One of the oldest residents of Oakley. She hates to see the historical things of the City destroyed. Concerned that by putting 4 lots on this property the historic barn will be destroyed. Concerned that the youth of the area do not know the history of the area. Believes we need to do better preserving our landmarks.

Chandler Smith: Responded to the comment about setting a precedent for future zoning change applicants. She stated that she has the same rights as anyone else. Anyone could file for a zoning change at any time. This is not a special process for them. Expressed her love for the historic barn.

City Recorder addressed the Council and read in written comment, received prior to the City Council meeting, from Kristy Atkinson, Millrace Road. The written comment is attached to these minutes as part of the permanent record.

Mayor Woolstenhulme closed the public hearing and asked for comment/discussion from the Councilmembers.

General discussion: Councilmember Wilmoth asked for clarification regarding wetlands and required setbacks. Councilmember Smart addressed lot sizes, the favorability of the MPD process and the oversight of the planning commission during the redrawing of the zoning map. Councilmember Kimber followed up with more questions regarding the fencing/property boundary issue with the Atkinsons. Planner Woolstenhulme stated that the boundary issue would most likely be resolved through the subdivision application as the applicant will have to have the property surveyed. Councilmember Frazier is concerned about the access on the

corner and feels that it is not a good place for added density but likes the idea of being able to preserve the historic barn.

Mayor Woolstenhulme called for a motion.

Councilmember Smart motioned to approve the zoning change as recommended by the Planning Commission. **Councilmember Kimber** seconded the motion. **Roll Call Vote:**

Councilmember Kimber	Aye
Councilmember Frazier	Nay
Councilmember Smart	Aye
Councilmember Wilmoth	Aye
Councilmember Neff	(Absent)

Vote is 3:1 in the affirmative. Ordinance 2022-03 is adopted.

5. Presentation of Bid and Possible Award of Contract for New Well Drilling Contractor; Presented by Aqua Engineering.

Nick Graue, Project Engineer presented the bid from Lang Drilling. Bid came in at \$2.9 million. This is considerably more than what was anticipated when the application was submitted to the USDA for funding. Originally budgeted \$1.5 million for drilling. However, as Aqua has been reviewing bids with additional projects this bid from Lang appears to be reflective of the current economic environment. Aqua Engineering is recommending that the City move forward and award the contract for the drilling to Lang Resources. There is a possibility of cost saving that could be employed. The depth of the well could be shortened "if" the well hits ample water production before the estimated 2,000 foot depth. If the depth were to be reduced to 1,500 feet, it saves the city \$500,000. Aqua does not recommend drilling less than 1,000 feet, however this cannot be determined until City is well into drilling and quality and production can be assessed. The original intent was to drill to 2,000 feet and get anticipated production of 2,000 gallons per minute to ensure enough source for the next 30 years. With a shorter depth the city runs the risk of having to drill again if production is not the anticipated 2,0000 gallons per minute.

With these considerations in mind, Aqua Engineering is recommending that the Council proceed and award the drilling contract to Lang Resources for the full \$2.9 million.

General Discussion regarding the increased amount needed for drilling and how that impacts the overall cost of the project. The other ancillary costs were budgeted for \$1.3 million which now puts the project at approximately \$4.2 million. These ancillary costs were done with considerable "wiggle room" and Aqua feels confident with the Phase 2 ancillary building costs.

The USDA financing is approved for \$3.2 million. Council views the well as a high priority need and advises staff to move forward with Aqua Engineering and the USDA for the cost overrun.

Mayor Woolstenhulme recognized Tricia Cone: Ms. Cone asked about extending the timeline for bids and the deadline for work since the city only received the one bid from Lang.

Councilmember Smart and the Mayor responded that there are very few companies in the region that are qualified to do this drilling with the technicalities of the site and the depth of the proposed well. The other vetted drilling company is booked through 2024 and would delay the project considerably.

Mayor Woolstenhulme recognized Tom Schultze: Mr. Schultze asked how the flow and quality of the water from the new well will be assessed. Nick Graue, project engineer stated that the Loughlin Group, geologists and hydrologists, will be making that assessment in the field. Mr. Schultze asked if the above ground structures would have to be improved when the time comes for a larger pump to be employed to get more production out of the well. Mr. Graue stated that the above ground structures are designed and constructed with maximum production in mind — only the pump will have to be replaced to produce additional supply.

Mayor Woolstenhulme called for a motion:

Councilmember Smart motioned to approve the bid and award the drilling contract to Lang Resources.

Councilmember Wilmoth seconded the motion. All voted in favor. Motion carried and bid awarded to Lang Resources for the drilling of the new well.

6. Event Discussion – Monster Truck Event Sumer of 2023

 Mayor Woolstenhulme informed the Council that the City has been approached about hosting a Monster Truck event at the arena complex this summer. Nothing has been decided. Pricing is yet to be determined. Wanted to get feedback from Council as to whether there was an interest in booking this type of event.

Public Works Director Staples stated that his primary concern is the access to the arena through the overhead gate by the announcer booth. Access is very tight. He provided the example of the benefit concert held Summer of 2022 and the amount of staff time it took to excavate arena fill to gain enough clearance for the stage delivery crew. In addition, he is concerned about the impact on the arena fill and the rodeo. The proposed dates are between the PRCA Rodeo and the High School Rodeo. Staff has worked hard to get the arena blend to where it is and the impact of an event like this is unknown on the quality of the arena blend. The event persons are proposing to remove the arena blend and bring in their own fill if needed. Director Staples is inclined to accept that offer should the Council decide to move forward with this event.

209 General discussion regarding possible dates and conflicts with the currently proposed dates in 210 July. Looking to September as alternative dates to avoid soccer tournaments and horse events at 211 the facility. Further discussion about arena accommodations i.e., removing the arena blend etc. 212 213 Councilmember Kimber likes the idea of utilizing our facility but not at the cost of impacting the 214 rodeos and other booked events adversely. 215 216 Councilmember Frazier agrees with Councilmember Kimber and would like to work with the 217 event vendor to find better dates. Cautioned to avoid conflicting dates with Francis and Kamas 218 Celebrations. 219 220 Councilmember Wilmoth is not in favor of this type of event. Horse events and Monster trucks 221 are incompatible. The PRCA is the money-maker at the complex, and he does not want to 222 adversely impact the facility in any way. He believes the facility is intended for sporting and 223 horse events. 224 225 Mayor Woolstenhulme summarized the scheduling concerns, impact on facility, need for 226 liability insurance coverage from the vendor, access issues, and concerns around the arena 227 blend. He stated that if these concerns can be addressed, he would be in favor of giving this 228 event a try. He suggested a site walk through with public works to address these issues and 229 then circle back to City Council. 230 231 Director Staples asked Council for input on general events and specifically when events of this 232 size are booked at the complex what responsibilities are included from City staff? i.e., bathroom 233 cleaning, garbage maintenance etc. 234 235 Mayor Woolstenhulme stated that these events need to be evaluated on a case-by-case basis 236 and worked into the event pricing. If an event demands more labor than we can supply the 237 event needs to be billed for this additional staff. 238 239 Further discussion regarding the noise generated by this type of event and how that relates to 240 the impending noise ordinance. 241 242 Recorder Rydalch asked for input from the Council on the broader question of whether Council 243 wants staff to pursue booking large events at the complex. This has implications for staffing 244 needs and policy changes. 245 246 General discussion amongst Councilmembers that the complex is well suited toward equine 247 events. Discussion regarding the benefit concert and the need to cover city cost on these types 248 of events. Much more labor intensive than anticipated. Discussion that these events will bring 249 in additional revenue to the city through sales tax etc. General sentiment to allow events to

approach the city, to prioritize events that are in harmony with the rural heritage of Oakley, and to be sensitive to the demands on staff when evaluating booking an event.

Mayor Woolstenhulme directed staff to move forward with the Monster Truck persons to see if there were accommodations that could be made to facilitate their event and report back to City Council.

7. Disposal of City Property – 2nd Reading

Mayor Woolstenhulme summarized the previous discussions regarding the proposed ordinance. He reviewed the State Law regarding Cities right to dispose of real property. The State statute was displayed for the Council and for members of the public to view. He asked for City Legal Counsel, Rob Patterson to comment on the state statute.

City Attorney Patterson gave the legal background. The city must satisfy two legal requirements. The city cannot give away property that has value. They must get fair market, not necessarily cash but it must reflect a value commensurate with the market. The second requirement is that a City must provide 14 days-notice and allow the public to comment on the sale of a significant parcel of real property. Cities must define what it means for a parcel to be considered a "significant parcel" of real property.

Mayor Woolstenhulme stated that he favors an ordinance that adopts the state statute because it shows that the City is following State Law.

General discussion regarding definition of a significant parcel of real property. Possibilities discussed were 0.5 acres, 0.25 acres, or defining by an established dollar value i.e., \$10,000.

Questions asked of City Legal Counsel:

Councilmember Wilmoth: significant parcel defined by dollar amount? – **Answer – Yes.**Can an RFP requirement be added to the language of the state statute? – **Answer – Yes.**

Councilmember Kimber: Does the bidding process require us to go with the highest bid?

Answer – the City's current ordinance does require the city to go with the highest bid. And is problematic if the appraisal is higher than the highest bid and the City can't meet the statutory requirement of fair market value. As the draft ordinance is written, using the State Statute, there is nothing that prevents the Council from undertaking a bidding process or an RFP.

Councilmember Kimber: If the City decided to add language requiring an RFP or bidding process could language be added that did not require awarding to highest bid but that allowed for what Council determined was in the best interest of the City. Answer – Yes. The language would have to be worked out but essentially that would be handled through RFP and not through a bidding process.

Councilmember Smart: In Counsel's experience do most Cities adopt the state code or do they draft their own ordinance for the disposal of real property? — Answer — All cities must adopt their own to some degree because a significant parcel must be defined. Generally, most cities will have some version of the state code but some add details specifying process for when it is not a significant parcel, or defining possible options for the disposal. He has not seen one as restrictive as the City's current ordinance.

Councilmember Kimber stated that he is looking for language that provides accountability for

Councilmember Kimber stated that he is looking for language that provides accountability for the City Council.

Mayor Woolstenhulme summarized that the State Statute and stated that the State recognizes that Cities need to have flexibility in the disposal of significant real property. The only imposed regulation has to do with fair market value and noticing. The state wants to allow cities various means to dispose of said real property. He is recommending that the Council approve the second reading of the ordinance that adopts the state statute and that Council define a significant parcel as part of the ordinance language. If approved the ordinance would be noticed and prepared for a public hearing at a future city council meeting.

Councilmember Wilmoth asked for clarification. As written, he wants it reiterated that the language in the proposed ordinance allows for the Council to employ a bid process, but it also allows for the Council to not use a bidding process. It is at the discretion of the City Council. His statement was confirmed by the City Attorney and Mayor.

City Attorney Patterson stated that under the state statute a Council could employe two different methods for disposal of two separate parcels of property. He gave an example where a city acquired a lot, hired a real estate agent and sold it through traditional real estate brokerage. They had additional property that they deemed to be more consequential and elected to go through an RFP process. They were able to employ two different methods because their ordinance allowed them the ability to use various methods for disposal of real property.

Councilmember Wilmoth stated that in every circumstance why would the city not want to go through the bidding process.

City Attorney Patterson gave the example of a city selling to a school district. If the property is put out to bid, then awarding the bid to the highest bidder becomes problematic if the school district is not the highest bidder. By being required to go to bid it curtails the city's ability to negotiate a sale for fair market value with the school district even though they are the desired buyer the city would have to award to highest bid and could not negotiate.

Councilmember Kimber asked if the council does elect to add a bidding process to the ordinance where does the language come in that would still allow for flexibility in awarding the bid.

322 323		City Attorney Patterson stated that it could be on an ad hoc basis to include language in the bid solicitation documents.		
324		Mayor Woolstenhulme called for a motion.		
325	Counci	Imember Frazier motioned to approve the second reading of the ordinance as written and define		
326	a significant parcel as 0.25 acres. Councilmember Smart seconded the motion.			
327	Vote was 3:1.			
328 329		Imembers Frazier, Kimber, and Smart voted in the affirmative. Imember Wilmoth opposed.		
330	Public Hearing set for second meeting in January 2023.			
331				
332	8.	Noise Ordinance – Continuation of 2 nd Reading		
333		City Attorney Patterson stated that language defining weekend hours and other exceptions		
334		have been added to the draft ordinance since the Council's last discussion.		
335				
336		City Attorney Patterson directed the council to the exceptions and specifically item #6.		
337		(Exceptions for City, school, or governmental approved events.) The City will need to		
338		implement, in their rental policy contracts, parameters for specific events. i.e. are they exempt		
339		from the noise ordinance requirements or not. Large parties at Cattlemen's Hall vs. Baby		
340		Shower. If not defined in the rental contract then any event approved at City facilities is exempt		
341		by this ordinance.		
342 343		Mayor Woolstenhulme was reviewing Moab City's efforts to regulate noise and noticed that		
343 344		they had involved their planning commission in the drafting of the ordinance. He is proposing		
34 4 345		that before Council approve the second reading of the draft ordinance that the Oakley City		
346		Planning Commission review and make recommendations regarding the ordinance.		
347		Training commission review and make recommendations regarding the ordinance.		
348		General Discussion regarding how and where to measure decibel levels. It was clarified that		
349		violations are generally complaint driven and the measurement is taken at the point of receiving		
350		the nuisance noise – not at the source.		
351				
352		Council Concurred – Planning Commission will review the draft noise ordinance and make		
353		recommendations.		
354				
355	9.	Mayors Report		
356		a. Go EXL Academy – withdrawn their request for the time being. May hear from them		
357		this spring/summer.		
358		b. Water Utility Items – Transducer at the Humbug well appears to be failing. This is the		
359		instrument that is in the well that measures depth of water and communicates to the		

360 pump when to turn on or off. Public Works is working with Doug Evans to find a fix. Not 361 an issue currently because the city has redundancy during the winter but could become 362 problematic as we enter warmer months and the problem is unresolved. c. Pay by Text – allows residents to pay their monthly water/sewer bill via text message. 363 364 Nominal per text charge to city (.64cents). Begins January 1st. 365 d. Training/Retreat - Discussion on what the council prefers on scheduling, format, and 366 topics. e. Other City Business - Calendar for the issuance of the bonds for the new well. Need to 367 368 schedule the adoption of the parameter's resolution and a public hearing. January 30, 369 2023, for the public hearing. 370 371 10. Councilmember Reports: 372 a. Councilmember Smart 373 i. RAP Tax Grant 2023- Reminded the council of the executed trail easement 374 agreements with Victors for the River Corridor Trail. Summarized the progress 375 on discussions with the Bureau of Reclamation, Popes, and Bergers. He stated 376 that he submitted an application for the 2023 RAP tax for monies for bridges, 377 trails, and fencing improvements for the RiverBend area and Victor Trail (River corridor) areas. Asked the RAP Tax Council to include a positive 378 379 recommendation in favor of using awarded RAP tax monies as matching funds 380 for an additional application to the Utah Outdoor Recreation grant by the City. 381 (Displayed several photos of the RiverBend and River Corridor areas.) Discussion of placement of bridges and possible improvements. City was awarded 382 \$112,000 for the 2023 RAP Tax Grant. 383 384 ii. Utah Outdoor Recreation Grant and River Alteration Permit- would like to 385 apply for monies to use in conjunction with the RAP tax money toward the trails 386 projects in the identified areas. **Councilmember Smart** motioned to apply for Utah Outdoor Recreation Grant and related permits. 387 Councilmember Kimber seconded the motion. All voted in favor. Motion passes. 388 389 b. Other Council Member Reports: 390 i. Councilmember Kimber – Expressed his appreciation for the Town Hall and felt 391 that it was important for community members to get information about city 392 business. He also expressed his desire to be included in communications and 393 meetings in any of the areas that fall within his area of assigned stewardship. ii. Councilmember Wilmoth - reported that the Rodeo Committee wants to 394

change the start time to 7:30 to facilitate the added time for breakaway and

facilitating patrons easier travel from the City after the performances.

c. Staff Items: City Recorder Rydalch – pointed out the number of submissions of contact

information from our solicitation for newsletter subscriptions. Data indicates the

395

396

397

398

399	website and social media pages are where most people are finding their information.
400	Reported that there has been a good start on collecting email and cell phone numbers.
401	Planner Woolstenhulme stated that the original newsletter was sent to approximately
402	800 residences. So far, the city has collected close to 200 subscriptions. Staff will
403	continue to encourage enrollment through social media etc.
404	i. Xmas Lunch – Staff has voted and would like a holiday lunch at the high
405	mountain drug on Tuesday. Council encouraged staff if they would prefer other
406	eating establishments.
407	11. In accordance with the Utah State Code Annotated: The Oakley City Council may elect to enter
408	a closed session to discuss character, professional competence, or physical or mental health of
409	an individual UCA 52-4-205.
410	
411	Councilmember Frazier motioned that the City Council move into closed session for the purposes cited
412	on the agenda. Councilmember Wilmoth seconded the motion.
413	
414	Councilmember Frazier Aye
415	Councilmember Kimber Aye
416	Councilmember Neff Absent
417	Councilmember Smart Aye
418	Councilmember Wilmoth Aye
419	
420	9:38 PM The Oakley City Council went into Closed Session
421	10:26 PM The Oakley City Council ended the Closed Session and meeting was Adjourned.
422	
423	22.1
424	Approval is to form this day of
425	
426	On Fr
427	for ragion
428	Joe Frazier, Mayor Pro-Tempore Amy Rydalch, City Recorder