1	
2	OAKLEY
3	
4	
5	Town 14
6	IST. 1808
7	MINUTES
8	Oakley City Council
9	Work Session 7:00 PM
10	VVOIR SESSION 7.00 1 IVI
11	August 17, 2022
12	Zoom Meeting Platform
13	Meeting ID 820 258 4629
14	Passcode 777869
15	Anchor Location: 960 West Center Street, Oakley UT
16	
17	In Attendance:
18	City Administration: Mayor Zane Woolstenhulme, Councilmembers: Joe Frazier, Kelly Kimber, Dave
19	Neff, Steve Wilmoth, Tom Smart. Planning Commissioner Lane Livingston.
20	
21	City Staff: City Recorder, Amy Rydalch; Public Works Director, Kendell Staples;
22	
23	Others in Attendance: Nick Graue, Project Engineer for Aqua Engineering
24	
25	Members of the Public: Kelly Edwards, Dallas Thacker (Oakley Residents)
26	
27	1. Mayor Woolstenhulme Opened the meeting. Councilmember Neff led the Pledge of Allegiance
28	Councilmember Frazier offered the invocation.
29	Mayor's Paparts
30 31	Mayor's Report:
32	 Interlocal Agreement with Summit County for Emergency Manager – Kathryn McMullin to be placed on consent calendar for 8/24/2022
33	Recognize the formal appointment of Wade Woolstenhulme as the Rodeo Committee
34	Chair, with Councilmembers Dave Neff and Steve Wilmoth as the City Councilmember
35	representatives. Councilmember Wilmoth will serve as a voting member of the Rodeo
36	Committee.
37	 Pickleball Courts- Renner Sports still having trouble getting concrete. Two projects
38	ahead of Oakley City. Estimate for concrete pour is mid-September.
50	anead of bakies city. Estimate for concrete pour is find-september.

• Food Pantry – Grand Opening on Wednesday August 24th at 6:00 PM. Would like to

have Councilmembers in attendance.

39

Reminder of two public hearings- Utah Taxpayers Association should be in attendance and indicated that they will speak in favor of the proposed property tax increase. As a formality there will be a hearing regarding the sale of City Property. Mayor stated that there is no intention of selling city center property without an approved development agreement in hand. The hearing is a formality and fulfills a legal requirement to allow the City Council to consider the disposal of property.

General Discussion regarding communication and procedure for the Public Hearings.

2. Proposed Extension of Farmers Market Dates:

Mayor Woolstenhulme summarized Mr. David Diehl's request to continue the Oakley Farmer's Market into the Fall and maybe indoors in the winter. Mr. Diehl is asking for two Saturdays in September and potentially the use of a City facility for an indoor market in the Winter. They've been very pleased with the turn-out. Some discussion of regarding winter market possibilities and the scheduling around rentals at the Red Barn and Cattlemen's Hall. Councilmember Kimber stated that he had reached out to Larry Devey of Ken's Kash for his perspective on the Farmer's Market but had yet to hear back from him. Councilmember Kimber, based on his observations, expects to hear that it has been good for business for Kens Kash. Councilmember Smart suggested that the food trucks that have been coming on Friday evenings may do better if they were there on Saturday's during the Farmer's Market instead of the night before.

Councilmember Wilmoth stated that the feedback he has received has been positive.

Councilmembers Frazier and Neff also commented favorably. Councilmember Frazier suggested that they try to avoid the first Saturday in September to avoid conflict with Francis Frontier Days. Consensus to move forward on 2nd and 4th Saturday dates for September.

3. Aqua Engineering Update, Nick Graue – Project Engineer

Nick Graue updated Council on the status of the Well Project. He spoke to the requirements that have been met to qualify for the USDA financing, i.e., the environmental protection study, approval from indigenous tribes, and the drafting of interim financing documents. He expects to go to bid within the next few weeks. As with all federal monies, there are several layers of approval that are required that slow the process down some. He reported that the City is locked in at a rate of 2.5% which is very favorable based on current market conditions. Bidding documents for drilling companies are ready for publication as soon as USDA gives the approval. Anticipates this approval within the next week or two. Once approval is received Aqua plans to advertise the RFP for 3-4 weeks. Concurrently, they will reach out to their contacts to invite vetted drilling companies to bid the project. **Mr. Graue s**poke to the technically challenging logistics due to the site of the new well i.e., drilling tanks, limited access, existing structures etc.

Councilmember Neff asked about how to attract drilling companies – can it be more regional to attract more interest? **Mr. Graue** spoke to the bid software that does allow a request for bids to

expand to incorporate a larger regional area. That is the intention, as well as to leverage their contacts as well as those of Loughlin & Associates to bring in more competitive bids.

General discussion regarding the construction that happens post-drilling. This will be managed as a separate bidding package occurring after the successful drilling of the well.

Councilmember Kimber asked about the chlorination and whether the new well would have to be chlorinated. Mr. Graue stated that Oakley City has very good source water and does not have to chlorinate at the source. However, the State is requiring the city to maintain a very small level of chlorination of our residual water (storage in the tanks and lines). The chlorination that is required is to treat any contamination that occurs in the city's water piping etc. He clarified that we will be chlorinating at the site — meaning that the water from the springs and the new well will be run through the chlorination system at the Cottonwood site before it goes into the storage tank. Discussion that the City will be changing to gas chlorination which is considered a better product due to smell, taste, storage, and administration.

Councilmember Frazier asked about the drilling time. Mr. Graue, with input from Mr. Lindon from Loughlin & Associates, indicated that drilling would be around the clock and at least six weeks or longer. Discussion regarding noise levels from generators and pressure release. Specifications regarding 16" casing and intention to oversize the well and undersize the pump so that when future growth arrives the pump should easily be replaced with one of a larger pumping capacity. General discussion of anticipated yield and the experience and reliability of Loughlin & Associates predictions. Anticipates enough yield to create redundancy in case of failure of the Humbug Well etc. One anticipated benefit of the anticipated redundancy is the ability to allow for repairs to the Humbug Well shaft. Councilmembers expressed appreciation for the communication from Aqua.

4. PUBLIC SAFETY DISCUSSION

Mayor Woolstenhulme reminded the council of previous discussions regarding utilizing captured revenue from the proposed property tax increase to fund a public safety officer presence in the City. He pointed to a contract provided by the Summit County Sheriff Justin Martinez regarding event pricing for a deputy. The Mayor indicated he wanted to get clarification from the Sheriff regarding the hourly rate but wanted to get a general feeling from the Council of their interest in pledging funds toward a public safety officer for Oakley City.

Poll amongst Councilmembers was very favorable and there is a unanimous desire to pursue this option. The Mayor will look into combining our fee for public safety services during the rodeo with a monthly contract with the county. Council would also like the Mayor to look into whether there is a possibility of sharing in the revenue from traffic citations.

5. WEBER RIVER ALTERATION

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143144

145146

147148

149

150

151152

153

154

155

156157

158

159160

161

162

163

Councilmember Smart summarized the City's recent successful efforts to secure a stream alteration permit for the Weber River near the City's River Bend Conservation area. Councilmember Smart and Matt Lindon from Loughlin Water Associates presented slides to the Council depicting the affected area and renderings of the proposed alteration project (the proposed redirection of the path of the river etc.) Kelly Edwards, neighboring property owner and community member, commented regarding the flooding history after the private party alteration of the area several years ago by a previous landowner. Councilmember Smart stated that he believes this re-alteration is important to ensure public access to the River. He pointed to the original path of the river pre-alteration in the early 2000's and how it followed the River Bend conservation area. Mr. Lindon discussed the flood control benefits both upstream and downstream of the proposed re-alteration of the River. Discussed putting the river back in the natural channel and how best to accomplish this. The State Engineer wanted to make sure both channels were respected, particularly for flood mitigation. Mr. Lindon showed renderings of the dike/dam with material that allowed for some flow through to Edwards property, particularly during high water. The proposed dam, while allowing for some flow to the Edwards channel, will move a considerable amount of the river water back to the pre-alteration channel along the River Bend area. He stated that the proposed meander stabilizes the river upstream and downstream regarding potential flooding.

Mr. Edwards addressed the Council regarding existing stream frontage and made an argument to leave the river unaltered. Stating that leaving the River unaltered still leaves the City with considerable river frontage.

Councilmember Smart pointed out that this project will also clean the River Bend area up considerably by removing the dead trees and wood that are currently encumbering the river and property in this location.

Councilmember Smart asked the Council to move forward with the project and appropriate \$4,000 to fund Loughlin Water and Associates time and labor to manage the project. Funding for the remainder of the project is expected to be obtained through various grant programs or State agencies. **Councilmember Neff seconded the motion.**

Councilmember Discussion:

Councilmember Neff expressed concern for private property owners and their access to the water but stated that the option that has been proposed tonight considers both the public access as well as still allowing river frontage/access for the private property owners in the area. Feels like this is a good compromise with the two channels receiving water and minimal financial investment from the City.

Councilmember Frazier stated that he is on board with the proposal if the City can acquire grants to pay for the project. If the City is unsuccessful in obtaining outside funding, then the project should not be done.

Councilmember Wilmoth is not in favor of the project but is appreciative of the efforts of the individuals involved to look at this area. He believes the river meander should stay as is. He is concerned that the alteration would not necessarily prevent flooding of this area during high water. He believes the River is in its natural course and does not require interference or alteration.

Councilmember Neff stated that the river had been altered from its natural path by a private property owner and that the aerial photographs show strong evidence of the river having been altered by this owner from its natural course.

Councilmember Wilmoth believes the City has higher priority areas that require City funding.

Councilmember Smart reiterated that he is asking for \$4,000 for project management from the City. The residual funding for the project will come from grant/partnership monies.

Councilmember Kimber stated that his understanding, based on the presentation, is that if the City doesn't act there will be problems of erosion and bank stability further upstream. Feels like there is a need to control and mitigate future problems but agrees with **Councilmember Frazier** and would like to minimize the City's obligation for funding.

Mayor Woolstenhulme restated the motion and called for a vote:

Councilmembers Smart, Kimber, Neff, and Frazier voted Aye Councilmember Wilmoth voted nay Motion Passes 4-1

6. Bolt Ranch Apparel Contract Amendments

City Recorder Rydalch summarized the current contract with Bolt Ranch for Oakley City apparel. The current contract was arranged in conjunction with their Oakley Rodeo sponsorship. The terms that apply to the apparel sales are an agreement to sell Oakley City apparel at the rodeo for a 25/75% net revenue share with the City (City share is 75%). Bolt Ranch handled all sales and is responsible for the sales tax payment to the state. At the conclusion of the rodeo, Bolt Ranch was to purchase up to \$10,000 of our residual product at cost to sell throughout the year in their store or at next years rodeo.

Bolt Ranch is proposing that in place of purchasing the residual product, they will collect residual apparel and sell it throughout the year on an on-going basis, paying the city quarterly under the same revenue sharing arrangement. In addition, they will continue to be responsible for the

sales tax collection and payment. On a quarterly basis they will provide the city with sales reports and payment for merchandise sold during the quarter.

Recorder Rydalch believes this proposal is beneficial to the City. If Bolt purchases the residual

product from the City as specified in the original contract, they are not required to sell Oakley product throughout the year. They can retain the Oakley product and reintroduce it next year at the rodeo thus creating a situation where the City is competing against its own product and not benefitting from all the Oakley product sales. Additionally, there is a significant amount of residual apparel over and above the product to be purchased by Bolt, that staff needs to determine how to market and sell. The proposed ongoing relationship with Bolt shifts that burden to Bolt Ranch as opposed to City staff. As this is the City's maiden voyage into merchandising and it is clear with sizing and product design, the City could benefit from an ongoing relationship with Bolt Ranch in assessing, designing, producing, and marketing product. All areas where the city lacks some skill and experience. **Staff Recommendation** is in favor of amending the apparel agreement with the proposed changes suggested by Bolt Ranch.

Recorder Rydalch reported the gross sales of product from the 2022 Rodeo including staff and sponsorship product. Reviewed total and outstanding cost of the apparel product.

Councilmember Wilmoth asked for clarification, on the amount that Bolt Ranch was to purchase at the end of the rodeo. He understood that it was to be all residual product. He does believe that Bolt is a good partner and is in favor of amending the contract and moving forward with them. He feels that we should sweeten the pot for Bolt and give them a 40% share because of the increased services that they will provide the city.

Councilmember Kimber expressed concern that if the contract is amended it shuts the door on the city with using other wholesale providers or online sales. **Recorder Rydalch** clarified that Bolt Ranch is agreeable to using other wholesale providers.

Councilmember Neff expressed that this was an experimental year and is generally in favor of an ongoing relationship with Bolt.

Councilmember Frazier wants to ensure that this contract is non-binding and does not lock the city into an exclusive long-term relationship. **Recorder Rydalch** discussed that we can formalize whatever terms the city finds to be most favorable in a proposed contract.

Mayor Woolstenhulme stated that he does not want City staff to be manning a store front or online sales and is very content with the proposed changes and would like to structure it so it can be reviewed on an annual basis.

Councilmember Smart indicated that he thought the rodeo sponsorship contract was a three-year contract and that whatever arrangement was worked out regarding the apparel should probably mirror the time frame of that rodeo contract.

Mayor Woolstenhulme asked to table this conversation until a contract could be prepared and added to the consent calendar.

7. Building/Facility Rental Moratorium Discussion

Mayor Woolstenhulme addressed the Council with his reasoning behind issuing the Mayoral order regarding the moratorium on facility rentals in July of 2022. Rentals at the Red Barn and Cattlemen's Hall are restricted to no rentals after 6:00 PM to anyone that is not a resident of Oakley City. Exceptions to this policy are for weddings and business/organization rentals.

Recorder Rydalch has prepared some information for the Council to review and consider for purposes of discussion. The Mayor stated that there are no intentions of changing the order any time soon.

Recorder Rydalch presented the rental policy pre-mayoral order and possible staff recommendations for changes to the pre-order rental policy to address some of the problems that led to the issuance of the order. A four-year revenue and general expenditure report for the rental facilities was also presented. The proposed changes included:

- Increase Fees/security deposits for non-residents (significantly)
 - Cattlemen's Hall because of proximity to residential properties
 - o Do not rent take off the calendar or
 - o Rent to Locals only or for specific type of events
 - o Restrict to no music after 8:00 PM
 - No Alcohol or must have Private Security
 - Increase Security Deposit and Collect at time of Reservation \$1,000
 - Retain Services of Professional Cleaners -Require for groups of a certain number

Councilmember Smart likes the idea of locals, including Kamas Valley residents, and business/organizations still having access for rentals of the facilities. Believes there should be an allowance for music but have a time limit. Lose deposit of heard after a certain time — maybe link it to a decibel level. Red Barn should be open to everyone because of RAP tax money.

Councilmember Kimber expressed disappointment with executive order and would've preferred to have had this conversation prior to the issuance of the order. He views the rentals as a nice source of revenue for the city and believes we can control the behavior based on pricing. Is concerned about the competition once Kamas' building comes online. Would like to find a solution and keep the facilities open to rentals.

Councilmember Neff expressed agreement with Councilmember Kimber's thoughts. He feels that with reasonable changes, the issues that led to the order, can be resolved. Believes that music should be allowed as it impacts weddings and weddings are an important part of the Red Barn rentals. He expressed openness to more restrictions on Cattlemen's Hall. Likes raising fees and the mandatory cleaning fee.

Councilmember Frazier expressed agreement with several of the staff recommendations. He is in favor of the Cattlemen's Hall recommendations, would like to see Kamas Valley Residents having access to rent facilities, believes Cattlemen could still have music if done by 8-9:00. Believes the City should not allow alcohol. He is in favor of raising the deposit and has no issue with out of valley using Cattlemen's Hall during the day. He feels the Red Barn is different, has not received any complaints regarding Red Barn and believes it should be open to full rentals.

Councilmember Wilmoth believes that raising fees will not have the desired impact as he believes groups will pool funds to meet the increased fees and deposit. He is in favor of Cattlemen's Hall being reserved for locals only and for day use. He is concerned about allowing the same type of activities that have been booking Cattlemen's Hall into the Red Barn. Expressed that the facilities are not being respected by the patrons and does not want to see further destruction or abuse of the City's facilities.

Mayor Woolstenhulme asked for input from members of the public in attendance that are affected by the recent problems with rentals of city facilities in the area.

Tom Schulz, Oakley City Resident, believes the problem is the lack of an enforcement officer to handle the time restrictions etc. Does not have a problem with the music before 10:00. Likes many of the proposed changes. The amplification and base are the problem. Residents do need to realize that we are in the City Center area where there will be commercial development that will bring in different ambient noise, but there needs to be better management and enforcement of rental policies.

Lane Livingston stated that it seems like the Council is referring to a specific type of event that are causing most of the issues with the building rentals. He's very familiar with the cultural customs related to these events and believes that these can be managed. Suggested contracting with private security for these types of events. Stated that raising the security deposit and fees significantly will reduce many of the issues. He spoke to the cultural importance of these events.

Dallas Thacker suggested the police officer retained by the City could incorporate event security as part of their duties.

Mayor Woolstenhulme addressed the Council by stating that he fails to see how making these facilities available to all fits the City's mission. He understands it generates revenue, but he is

not convinced that is enough of a justification to continue making our facilities available at large. He cited the workload for staff to manage the rentals from beginning to end and for the impact he is not certain that the net financial result is worth the investment. He does not see how the rentals serve the purposes of local government, public health & safety, infrastructure, and a sense of community. He is in favor of residents having access to the facilities and does not want to see an increase in fees for residents. He proposes to take Council's comments under advisement and come up with a formal proposal for Council to consider. In the meantime, the current order will remain in place.

Current Rental Policy:

No non-resident rentals after 6:00 PM. Weddings may proceed. No music at Cattlemen's Hall after 6:00 PM. Businesses and Organizations are allowed. No restrictions are in place for resident rentals – music falls under the former rental policy parameters 10:00 PM.

Mayor Woolstenhulme summarized his experience of renting Cattlemen's Hall for a family reunion this summer and the state of the facility upon their arrival to set up for their event. The refuse, vomit, and lack of cleanliness was the impetus to the executive order which after verifying with city legal counsel he is allowed to issue.

Councilmember Wilmoth stated that he looks at the revenue figures for the last five years and is pleased and commends the City staff for the improvement. The fees, policies, and efforts of staff and Council have played into the success of the rental facilities, and he believes that Council needs to "tweak" current policy to make it work.

Mayor Woolstenhulme would like to have a noise ordinance in place before the Council revisits the policy on rentals. A proposal and draft of noise ordinance will be forthcoming.

8. Meeting was Adjourned.

Approval is to form this 28 day of September, 2022

362 Zane Woolstenhulme, Mayor

Amy Rydalch, City Recorder