



MINUTES

February 11, 2026

Oakley City Council Meeting

7:00 PM

Oakley City Hall

Zoom Meeting Platform

Meeting ID 820 258 4629

Passcode 777869

Anchor Location: 960 West Center Street, Oakley UT 84055

In Attendance:

City Administration: Mayor Steve Wilmoth; Councilmembers: Joe Frazier, Chris Dillman, Dave Neff, Amy Regan, Tom Smart.

City Staff: City Recorder, Amy Rydalch; City Planner, Stephanie Woolstenhulme; Public Works Director, Kendell Staples

Other City Administration: Planning Commission Chair, Richard Bliss.

Members of the Public: In Person: Shauna Mecham, Mountainland Association of Governments, Jill Wanstrom; Via Zoom: Krista Kelly, KC Jones, Silverlake Home

1. Mayor Wilmoth opened the meeting.

- Invocation or Expression: **Councilmember Dave Neff**
- Pledge of Allegiance: **Mayor Chris Dillman**

2. PUBLIC COMMENT:

None Received.

3. CONSENT CALENDAR:

- a. Minutes
- b. Approval of the Bills against Oakley City

42 **Councilmember Smart** motioned to approve the Consent Calendar. **Councilmember Regan** seconded
43 the motion. All voted in favor. Consent Calendar Approved.

44
45 **4. PLANNING COMMISSIONER RE-APPOINTMENTS**

46 **Mayor Wilmoth** summarized the current make-up of the Planning Commission and stated that
47 Commissioner Doug Evans term expired, and he is not seeking an additional term. The Mayor
48 also informed the Council that Commissioner Maynes was stepping down from the commission
49 mid-term due to his recent re-location to Canada and time-frame for his return to Oakley full-
50 time was not clear. Mayor Wilmoth then made a recommendation to re-appoint Commissioners
51 Jan Manning and Cliff Goldthorpe to the Commission for another four-year term and to open
52 the position vacated by Commissioner Maynes up to the public for applications.

53
54 Some discussion amongst Councilmembers regarding re-appointments. Posting for the open
55 seat to happen in next few days.

56 **Councilmember Frazier** motioned to appoint Jan Manning and Cliff Goldthorpe to new terms with the
57 Oakley City Planning Commission. **Councilmember Smart** seconded the motion. All voted in favor.
58 **Commissioners Jan Manning and Cliff Goldthorpe were re-appointed to the Oakley City Planning**
59 **Commission.**

60
61 **5. STATE ROAD 32 CORRIDOR PROJECT PRESENTATION: City Planner, Stephanie Woolstenhulme**

62 **Planner Woolstenhulme** provided an overview of the grant-funded study of moving traffic through
63 the communities of Summit County, Kamas and Francis. She stated that the aim of the study was to
64 create a holistic and inclusive vision for the State Road 32 corridor. Summit County, Oakley City,
65 Kamas and Francis all worked together to find solutions that addressed the different needs of each
66 community while keeping an overall objective of moving persons in an efficient and safe way
67 throughout the State Road corridor. The preferred scenario includes the preservation of open rural
68 spaces, maintaining a two-lane highway, and developing city centers with mixed-use, higher density
69 housing. Unique to Oakley, the study proposed three roundabouts within city limits to address safety
70 traffic concerns in Oakley.

71
72 **Planner Woolstenhulme** displayed maps of the overall SR 32 corridor area and the sections unique
73 to Oakley and discussed the rationale behind proposed secondary roads, roundabouts, and trails.
74 She discussed that the next steps post study could involve developing and adopting a transportation
75 plan specifically for Oakley. In turn this would provide UDOT with City support to pursue feasibility
76 studies on the roundabouts for further consideration.

77 Councilmembers discussed transportation improvements at Weber Road/SR 32 and Center Street/SR
78 32 intersections. General favorable interest was expressed regarding the roundabouts amongst all
79 members of the Council. **Councilmember Smart** proposed drafting a letter of support to UDOT
80 regarding the Millrace/SR 32 Roundabout as it dovetails with the replacement of the Millrace Bridge
81 and as an integral piece to the larger trail system of the Weber River Pathway. **Councilmember**
82 **Dillman** expressed a preference for waiting until a comprehensive transportation plan is developed.

83 The group agreed to contact the county engineer to assess feasibility, particularly for the Millrace
84 intersection where space concerns were raised. They decided to pursue both a transportation plan
85 and feasibility studies simultaneously, with the understanding that the roundabout concept could
86 inform the broader transportation strategy.

87 **Councilmember Frazier** motioned to accept the results and conclusions of SR-32 corridor study, to work to
88 develop a transportation plan that incorporates the preferred concept of SR-32 corridor, to ensure City
89 Center development that allows for mixed use development, open space preservation, trail connectivity, and
90 historic preservation and to examine and pursue the feasibility of the proposed Oakley roundabouts.

91 **Councilmember Neff** seconded the motion. All voted in favor. **Council to work on developing a**
92 **transportation plan, investigate the feasibility of the proposed roundabouts, and continue focusing on City**
93 **Center development for mixed use, open space preservation, trail connectivity, and historic preservation.**

94 **6. ARENA/RODEO COMPLEX EXPANSION NEXT STEPS – DISCUSSION**

95 **Mayor Wilmoth** summarized the two Recreation Complex site plans that were proposed in the
96 December 2025 meeting for improvements to the facility. He asked for discussion regarding
97 preference for a site plan to inform the Rodeo Committee’s recommendations to the Council for a
98 “plan of attack.” He indicated that the two site plans are similar with the main difference being the
99 addition of a roundabout in Option 2 to improve traffic flow and prevent vehicles from accessing the
100 red barn area. The Council also discussed potential changes to parking areas and trail access, with
101 consideration given to UDOT regulations and the possibility of installing a gate for limited access
102 during rodeo week.

103 Additional discussion focused on needed infrastructure improvements for the rodeo facility such as
104 three-phase power, water, and sewer infrastructure. The Council agreed that three-phase power
105 installation was the top priority and expressed concern that it would be an expensive undertaking.
106 Discussion regarding the need to have a financing plan for improvements before proceeding.

107 Discussion turned to the financial structure of the Rodeo and the segregation of all rodeo monies
108 from the general operating funds of the City. **Recorder Rydalch** confirmed that all revenue, including
109 ticket sales and sponsorships, is kept in the rodeo fund with only a percentage of employee wages
110 and benefits being charged to the rodeo fund. **Councilmember Smart** proposed maintaining a
111 portion of the rodeo fund's revenue for future improvements while ensuring the rodeo remains
112 financially viable. Discussion noting the event's production costs have risen to approximately \$1.8
113 million. **Councilmember Smart** proposed taking 15% of rodeo revenue for city use, which could be
114 spent on any city expense. The council agreed this would help maintain the rodeo while addressing
115 city needs. They also discussed potential changes to the rodeo's legal status, with concern expressed
116 regarding converting it to a 501c3 organization.

117

118 After additional debate regarding the two optional site plans for the complex, a motion was made.

119 **Councilmember Smart** motioned to defer all decisions regarding the site plan and arena improvements to
120 Councilmember Chris Dillman, whose area of stewardship is the arena complex and rodeo committee.
121 **Councilmember Neff** seconded the motion. **All voted in favor. Decisions related to the site plan and**
122 **improvements delegated to Councilmember Chris Dillman.**

123 **7. RULES OF PROCEDURE DISCUSSION – TABLED UNTIL NEXT MEETING**

124

125 **8. MAYOR REPORT**

- 126 a. Joint Work Session with Planning Commission tentatively scheduled for first meeting in
127 March.
- 128 b. Human Resources – changes to PTO recommendations forthcoming.
- 129 c. Reviewed Public Works recommendations for surplus property disposal.
- 130 d. State Legislative Update: Concern regarding two land-use bills that would override the City's
131 local land use regulations and one that will impose a new fee on local water systems.
- 132 e. Celebration Items: Discussed assignments for each councilmember for the upcoming rodeo.
- 133 f. FOG policy development for wastewater treatment facility.

134

135 **9. City Council Priorities and Goals:**

- 136 a. **Councilmember Dillman:** focus on further financial stability, to provide services without
137 having to raise fees/taxes; to ensure residents feel heard; Water/wastewater utilities
138 operating optimally.
- 139 b. **Councilmember Neff:** progress on City Center development and involve Planning
140 Commission at touch points; give consideration to trails and transportation considerations.
- 141 c. **Councilmember Frazier:** implementation of the Capital Improvement Plan; Impact fee study;
142 sewer facility back on track; City center development; neighborhood emergency plan;
143 historic preservation board.
- 144 d. **Councilmember Regan:** City Center; enhancing walkability of the City; infrastructure
145 improvements; code enforcement officer.
- 146 e. **Councilmember Smart:** completion of the Weber River Pathway; continued focus on trail
147 connectivity and grant monies.
- 148

149 **10. EXECUTIVE (CLOSED) SESSION: The governing body of the city may enter a closed session for**
150 **reasons authorized pursuant to Utah Code Annotated 52-4-205.**

151

152 **None Held.**

153

154 **Councilmember Frazier** motioned to adjourn the meeting.

155

156

157

158

159
160
161
162
163

Approval is to form this 11 day of March, 2026.



Steve Wilmoth, Mayor



Amy Rydalch, City Recorder