

	_	
•	ı	
	E	

39

amended.

1					
2		MINUTES			
3		Oakley City Planning Commission			
4		Meeting			
5		August 31, 2022			
6		Zoom Meeting Platform			
7		7:00 PM			
8					
9					
10					
11					
12		AGENDA			
13	1.	Call the meeting to order			
14	2.	Discussion/Possible Action: Planning Commission meeting minutes - 8/3/2022			
15	3.	Discussion/Possible Action: Non-Conforming Certificate: Rocky Mountain K9 – David Kitchen			
16	4.	Discussion/Possible Action: Zoning question on Parcel OT-117			
17	5.	Discussion/No action: Road Island Diner remodel			
18	6.	Discussion/Possible Action: Planner Items			
19	7.	Adjourn			
20					
21					
22		MINUTES			
23		1. Call to order and roll call:			
24		a) Planning Commission: Chairman Cliff Goldthorpe; Commission Members: Doug			
25		Evans, Richard Bliss, Jan Manning, Lane Livingston			
26		b) City Staff: Stephanie Woolstenhulme, City Planner; Tristin Leavitt, City Treasurer;			
27		City Councilmember, Kelly Kimber			
28		c) Other: Chandler Smith, Brent Turner, David Kitchen, Dave Giles, Justin Wallis, Trevol			
29		Norris, Tom Petrizzio, Marc Williams, John Keller			
30 31		Zoom: Jason Boal, John O., Jack Walkenhorst, Melissa McDonald			
		2. Discussion/Possible Action: Planning Commission meeting minutes 8/3/2022			
32 33		2. Discussion/Possible Action: Planning Commission meeting minutes 8/3/2022			
34		Doug Evans lists the following corrections:			
35		-line 48 typo Findings if Fact needs to read Findings of Fact			
36		-line 108 missing vote.			
37		Time 100 missing vote.			
38		Doug Evans makes a motion to approve the 8/3/2022 Planning Commission minutes as			
, ,		Bod Evans makes a motion to approve the of 3/2022 Hamming commission fillinates as			

Jan Manning seconds the motion. All in favor.

3. Discussion/Possible Action: Non-Conformity Certificate: Rocky Mountain K9 – David Kitchen

Planner Stephanie Woolstenhulme shares the following with the Planning Commission:

Proposal:

The applicant wishes to obtain a non-conformity certificate for business, Rocky Mountain K9.

Recommendation of City Attorney:

Listen to the scope of work, approve it as presented, and issue a non-conformity certificate. The non-conformity certificate will describe the business/use in some detail, confirms that it is a legal, nonconforming use as it currently exists, and states that the use can be continued only on the same terms as described in the certificate, and that any deviance will cause the use to lose its nonconforming status and become an illegal use.

Findings of Fact:

- 1. Located at approximately 650 W. 4200 N.
- 2. Property is RR-1 zoning 1 development right per acre.
- 3. Previous RR-2 zoning prior to map change.
- 4. Current Land Use code 13-4-19 indicates that "Kennels, animal boarding and care" are not a permitted use in RR1. Permitted with Conditional Use Permit in RR2.
- 5. Previous code: Allowable use (see attachment A)

Scope of Work Summary:

- 1. Private lessons of 1 houir occur on prmise where the dog arrives and departs with owner
- 2. Short-term boarding of dogs in current home.
- 3. Dogs on premise are kenneled between 7 p.m. and 7 a.m.
- 4. Maintain a no barking policy. Training and bark collars utilized.
- 5. Dog run under horse shelter that is used for potty and play during daytime hours.
- 6. Dog waste in sealed and closed containers with pick-up/disposal weekly. Kennelsol also used for smell and sanitary purposes.

Points of Clarification of Scope of Work:

- 1. Number of dogs, including applicatn's personal dogs, that will be on property at any given time. *Answer:* 10
 - a. 5 or more dogs on premise at any given time requires a kennel license form Summit County. Applicant will obtain and keep current a kennel permit from Summit County Animal Control per Summit County Code 5-1-21 and 5-1-22.
- 2. Define short-term boarding. *Answer: 1-30 days*
- 3. Location of training sessions. Answer: Dogs will often "go walking" with trainer and/or owners on public roads which they will do in compliance to "leash laws" of Summit County Animal Control law.

	C	, ,
		7
		8
	8	9
		0
		1
		2
		3
		4
		5
	9	6
	9	7
		8
		9
		0
1	0	1
1	0	2
1	0	3
1	0	4
1	0	5 6
1	0	6
1	0	7
1	0	8
		9
1	1	0
		1
		2
1	1	3
1	1	4
1	1	5
1	1	6
		7
1	1	8
1	1	9
1	<u>ተ</u>	0
1	2	1
1	<u>ィ</u> っ	ュ
1	2 2	2
1	2	3 4
1	2	4
1	2	5
1	- 2 2	6
1	2	7
		8
1	2	9
1	3	0 1 2
1	3	1
1	3	2

- 4. Are areas where dogs are allowed fully enclosed? Not able to get to public road? Answer: Dogs do not have access to public roads or adjacent properties.
- 5. Person always home? If not, are dogs inside if no person on property. Answer: If no property owner/trainer is on property, dogs will be placed in the house.

Doug Evans questions if everything right now is compliant as code and if there are any record of complaints. **Planner Stephanie Woolstenhulme** confirms that the business is currently compliant with code in it's vagueness and there are no record of complaints.

Chairman Cliff Goldthorpe questions if Summit County has given him (David Kitchen) any reason to fight him on this. David Kitchen lets them know that as of right now, they have not. Chairman Cliff Goldthorpe questions if he has the application in hand for the kennel permit. David Kitchen responds that he does have a kennel license application from the county, however he would need this approval from the city so that there is a governing body attached to it before he can move forward with anything with the county. Planner Stephanie Woolstenhumle clarifies for Planning Commission that Summit County's jurisdiction is the safety and security of the animals and Oakley City's jurisdiction is the scope of work.

Lane Livingston feels that where there have been no documented complaints with the city or problems that going forward we should expect the same.

David Kitchen makes Planning Commission aware of some complaints from his neighbor.

Chairman Cliff Goldthorpe opens it up for public comment.

John Keller 700 W. 4200 N. (neighbor to the north)-Very concerned. Has made no formal complaints just complaints to David Kitchen. Not fair to subject neighbors to constant barking in residential area. To David Kitchen's credit he has tried to mitigate the barking. Was bitten a few months ago and had to chase one off. Will be complaining everyday if the barking continues.

David Kitchen cannot confirm or deny that all of the barking is coming from his place from the numerous neighbors with barking dogs. The bark collar is implemented if after two corrections the barking does not stop.

Jan Manning has concerns about the location of where **John Keller** was bitten. **David Kitchen** responds that they were doing work in the back yard and one of the dogs busted through the gate. Gate is now unable to be used.

David Kitchen does currently have a piece of property in Brown's Canyon under contract and is hopeful that it works out and is asking that the city work with him for a short period of time while he works through this.

Doug Evans makes a motion that based on the findings of fact and conclusions of law and the items listed out, paint a picture of how this existed in the prior code and that a

178179

180

181

density.

134 certificate of non-conforming use be issued for this use with a period review at six months, 135 or before if there are more complaints, and we assess the compliance at that point. 136 Richard Bliss seconds the motion. 137 All in favor 138 139 4. Discussion/Possible Action: zoning question on Parcel OT-117 140 141 Planner Stephanie Woolstenhulme shares the following with Planning Commission: 142 143 Findings of Fact: 144 1. Located at approximately 4685 N. Millrace Road 145 2. Under current zoning, property is RR-1 zoning – 1 development right per acre. Property 146 currentlly has 2 development rights, one of which has been used by current home. 147 3. Previous zoning maps (prior ro May 2021 map) show split zoining of RR-2 and RR1-0.5. 148 149 Items of Consideration: 150 1. All previous official maps I could find of property show parcel as a split zoned parcel, RR-151 2 and RR 1-0.5. Arguably, the property is split down the middle which would have 1 acre 152 of property in RR-2 and 1 acre in RR 1-0.5. Argument could be made for 3 development 153 rights on property, one on the RR-2 side and 2 on the R 1-0.5 side. 154 2. When zoning map was redone last year, the intent was to zone split-zone-parcels to the 155 higher density zone or, at minimum, not take away development rights. 156 a. Not all split zoned parcels were taken to higher density. Depending on the 157 portion of land split, some properties were zoned to the lower density. 158 1. Oakley School Property: split zoned RR-2 and A-5. 75% land in A-5 so 159 went to all A-5, and also has the conditional use permit. 160 2. Harding parcel: zoned A-5 (66%) and A-40 (34%) was zoned A-5. 161 3. Parcels to South of Smith parcel were up-zoned from RR-2 to RR-1. 162 163 **City Attorney Comment:** 164 City Attorney comments that because current map is official zoning map of Oakley City after 165 having been adopted in May 2021, either a zoining change application or development 166 agreement for parcel would be the process to add additional density. 167 168 Doug Evans shares that the current zoning is the zoning. No one is entitled to a past zone. 169 Maps were available to the public for a year and numerous public hearings were held 170 without any complaints. 171 172 Chandler Smith shares that when she was checking into this 7 years ago her goal was to 173 provide a place for her children to build in the future. On August 22, 2019, she came in and 174 spoke with the City Planner at that time, and was notified that the zoning was changing and 175 if you were split zoned you would be changed to the higher density. She started the process 176 in 2019 and backed off because she thought it would go forward and move to the higher

Planner Stephanie Woolstenhulme clarifies with Planning Commission that moving forward the options for the Smith's would be a development agreement or a zoning change application.

182		
183	5.	Discussion/No Action: Road Island Diner Remodel
184		
185		Diner remodel presented to Planning Commission.
186		General discussion between Planning Commission, Dave Giles, Justin Wallis, and Trevor
187		Norris.
188		
189	6.	Discussion/Possible Action: Planner Items
190		
191		Book passed out to all Planning Commission members per Mayor Woolstehulme regarding
192		zoning. He wants Planning Commission to know that it is not a political statement or any
193		direction he thinks they should go. It is simply a recommendation.
194		
195	7.	Adjourn
196		
197		Chairman Cliff Goldthorpe entertains a motion to adjourn meeting.
198		
199		Richard Bliss makes a motion to adjourn meeting.
200		Jan Mannning seconds the motion.
201		All in favor.
202		
203		and
204		Minutes accepted as to form this day of Nov 2022.
205		
206		Will do that the time of the state of the st
207		Call free free free free free free free fr
208		Cliff Goldthorpe, Chairman Tristin Leavitt, City Treasurer
		•