1

MINUTES

Oakley City Planning Commission

Meeting

August 2, 2023

Zoom Meeting Platform

6:00 PM

2. Public Comment: *Public comment is for any matter not on the agenda and not the subject of a

4. Public Hearing/Possible Action: Conditional Use Permit. Deer Meadows Landscaping. Storage

c. River Haven status – received final plat approval from City Council with conditions.

email application. If you would like to submit comments to Commission, please email

of equipment for landscaping business at approximately 700 E. Weber Canyon Road.

stephanie@oakleycity.com by 5:00 p.m. on Monday, May 1st.

5. Discussion: Time frame for Land Use Code amendments

Engineered drawings still being reviewed.

6. Discussion: UDOT/Traffic Study information

a. MRR-1 Plat Amendment update

3. Possible Action: Approval of meeting minutes from 5/3/2023 and 6/7/2023

pending land use application. If you would like to submit comments to the Commission, please

2

3

4 5 6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

> 28 29

27

30

31

32

33 34

35 36 37

38

MINUTES

8. Adjourn

7. Planne Items

AGENDA

1. Open Meeting

1. Call to order and roll call:

b. Well update

a) Planning Commission: Chairman Cliff Goldthorpe; Commission Members: Richard Bliss, Kent Woolstenhulme, Jan Manning, Doug Evans **Absent: Steve Maynes**

- b) City Staff: Stephanie Woolstenhulme, City Planner; Tristin Leavitt, City Treasurer
- c) Other: Chris Webb, Bob Elbert, Vicki Elbert, Craig Rydalch, Tom Smart, Kevin Barker, Charlene Barker, Zane Woolstenhulme

39		Zoom: Todd Crow, Deb Sheldon		
40				
41	2.	Public Comment: Public comment is for any matter not on the agenda and not the subject		
42		of a pending land use application.		
43		None.		
44	_			
45	3.	Possible Action: Approval of meeting minutes from 5/3/2023 and 6/7/2023		
46		1		
47 40		Jan Manning moves to approve the minutes from 5/3/2023 and 6/7/2023 as reported.		
48		Richard Bliss seconds the motion. All in favor.		
49 50		All in lavor.		
50 51	4.	Public Hearing/Possible Action: Conditional Use Permit. Deer Meadows Landscaping.		
52	4.	Storage of equipment for landscaping business at approximately 700 E. Weber Canyon Road		
53		Storage of equipment for landscaping business at approximately 700 L. Weber Carryon Road		
54		Planner Stephanie Woolstenhulme presents the following from the Staff Report:		
55		riumer stephanic trootstenname presents the following from the star report.		
56		 Proposal 		
57		• Findings of Fact		
58		 Possible Conditions of Approval/Conditions to be Mitigated. 		
59		1 0331516 Contactions of Approvaly Contactions to be Willigated.		
60		Chris Webb presents the following to the Planning Commission:		
61		dinis treas presents the renorming to the riskining commission.		
62		 Looking at ¾ acre space 		
63		 Enclosed with fencing with full screening on 3 sides. 		
64		Put solid sides on existing pole barn.		
65		Staging area for morning and afternoon when people are there.		
66		 Peak number of employees on property is 12 – less in the winter. 		
67		3 to 4 people in the winter – snow equipment will not live there.		
68		Peak season is summertime.		
69		Hours 7 to 7, actually work from 8 to 5.		
70		Not a material staging yard.		
71		Office located in Salt Lake City		
72		Main clientele is residential.		
73		No permanent power – short term generator for office lighting		
74		Type of equipment kept there will be skid steers, mini excavators, mowers, pickup		
75		trucks.		
76		Area measured out for truck trailer combinations.		
, c 77		• Fence would be wood with berm and tree screening.		
78		 Existing driveway will be used for entrance to staging area. 		
79		Existing universaly will be used for chiralize to stuging area.		
80		Chairman Cliff Goldthorpe opens it up for public comment.		
81		The second of th		
82		Craig Rydalch (speaking on behalf of Judd Rydalch) - Judd was told that this piece of		
83		property would possibly turn into a greenhouse or a dairy. Judd is not ok with having a		
2/		landscape staging area next to him. Craig feels there are other ontions in the city where this		

type of business could go. Vehicle reverse alarms are also an issue and can be a huge nuisance.

Kevin Barker – Feels the neighborly thing would have been to have reached out personally to all the neighbors. Concerns about impact on the neighbor's property from a financial perspective and a quality-of-life perspective. Also concerned about light pollution. Requests that this not be approved.

Todd Crow (zoom) – Concerns with lighting and noise. Will there be limitations on the times that they can come and go. Also concerned with snow removal being a 24-7 operation in the winter.

Bob Elbert – Concerns with traffic on the canyon road and speed limits already not being adhered to. Wants to know what the staff's recommendation is. Wooden fence is concerning because of what was put up on North Bench Rd.

Vicki Elbert – Concerns with where it starts is where it continues. What they were promised when Maple Ridge went in and what they have now are two different things. Wants to know what enforcement processes will be in effect to make sure things aren't changing.

Bree and Nate Millard (read into public record by Planner Stephanie Woolstenhulme) — This is in regard to tonight's meeting. I may be too late to have this email formally inserted into the meeting, but I just wanted to express our concerns about the landscaping company. I know you are aware of the speeding concerns we have, hence emailing Brandon about the missing limit sign. It seems to us there has got to be a way to get cars to slow down. I have emailed Brandon in the past to get the signs put back up as well as cutting brush so the signs can be seen. I even asked about blinking signs or even a speed bump as you enter the 25mph zone from up the canyon. If there is going to be increased traffic, i.e., another landscape company (in addition to Prime), they must help to slow their drivers down. I have called and complained to Prime several times. I wouldn't bitch and moan about it except we think it's fair that drivers go the 25mph that is about a quarter of the mile at the end of the canyon, especially if you have to come to a stop anyways on the way down. It's very distracting when so many people are flying right past the 25mph sign, going easily 2 to 3 times that speed.

General discussion amongst Planning Commission.

Doug Evans states that under the code this business can happen under a Conditional Use Permit.

Doug Evans makes a motion to continue discussion until the next meeting, and in the meantime, meet with the owners and see if there are ways to mitigate negative impacts and see if there are places it can be relocated to.

Richard Bliss seconds the motion.

All in favor.

5. Discussion: Time frame for Land Use Code amendments

159

160

161

162

163 164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173 174

175

176

177

178

179

Planner Stephanie Woolstenhulme shares that the goal is to make code amendments by the end of the year. This is due to the last legeslative session that requires the city to make updates to the code. Also, asked Commission to look at the Use Matrix and see if the uses that are allowable match up with the General Plan.

6. Discussion: UDOT/Traffic Study information

Planner Stephanie Woolstenhulme shares the following from the Staff Report:

Meeting Minutes

- Oakley Traffic Concerns
- Data Summary
- Additional Data Added (summary)
- Conclusion and Next Steps

General discussion amongst Planning Commission members.

7. Planner Items

- a. MRR-1 Plat Amendment update
 - Redesigned and sent to ditch company as well as all neighbors and approved.
 - Final plat is with the County being looked at for review.

b. Well update

- Almost 1,750 feet.
- 250 feet to go which will be very difficult.
- Appears they are in water.
- Hoping to be finished in the next 20 days.
- Next critical point will be the result of the pump test.
- Unsure of when the next infrastructure can be completed which may delay the moratorium further.
- c. River Haven status received final plat approval from City Council with conditions. Engineered drawings still being reviewed.
 - Went before City Council and approved with a few plat notes being added.
 - o Cannot be further subdivided.
 - Leftover building rights cannot be used on that parcel. He can retain them for future transfer of development rights if opportunity arises.
 - City Engineer requested that the Final Plat not be signed until all engineered drawings have been ok'd.

d. Additional Planner Item – Ken's Kash Remodel

- Remodel will bring building front out to eliminate covered porch area.
- Will push cars out 4 ½ feet towards the road.
- Planner request emails regarding any ideas the Planning Commission has on how to improve general area with this wanted remodel.

180	8	3. Adjourn	
181			
182		Doug Evans motions to adjourn the meeting	ng.
183			
184			
185		Minutes accepted as to form this	day of OCT 2023.
186		1	
187		$11 \circ 21$	0.00
188		The pui	Dre All dir than It
		Cliff Coldthauna Chairman	+ idia da
189		Cliff Goldthorpe, Chairman	Tristin Leavitt, City Freasurer
		Richard Bliss vice ch	